

Energy saving Wireless Sensor Networks using Kerberos

Upasana Bahuguna
TULA's Institute, The
Engineering and
Management College
Dehradun, Uttarakhand India

Anju Devi
Uttarakhand Technical
University
Dehradun, Uttarakhand India

Shashi Bhusan
TULA's Institute,
The Engineering and
Management College
Dehradun, Uttarakhand India

Abstract: The wireless sensor network is an networking field that combines sensing, computation, and communication into a single tiny device. As sensor networks frame closer towards well-known deployment, security issues become a vital concern. So far, much work has focused on making sensor networks realistic and useful, but still security in sensor network data communication is big issue for research. This paper proposed the idea of having different Kerberos authentication architecture for the different clusters in sensor network to save energy factor of the sensor nodes and to save time for data communication between the sensor nodes in the network.

Keywords: Wireless Communication Network; Wireless sensor network; data dissemination; Kerberos authentication.

1. INTRODUCTION

The proliferations of wireless communication networks (WCN), such as mobile *ad hoc* networks (MANET), and wireless sensor networks (WSN), make their performance issues equally important, if not even more important, because a WCN is more complex in nature than the wired CN, its components are less resourceful, and the components are more susceptible to failures. Limited resources (*e.g.*, battery, memory, and bandwidth) reduce the intrinsic performance of WCN, and thus methods to improve the metrics in WCN are imperative. The node mobility in WCN makes network links have higher unavailability rates and makes the performance analysis of a WCN even more difficult. A WCN comprises a set of nodes each of which is capable of transmitting to or receiving from other nodes. The nodes in the network, among others, can be a computer, concentrator, end user terminal, mobile station, repeater acting as a transmitter/receiver, or a sensor node. Two nodes in a WCN, in contrast to a *wired* CN, are connected by wireless communication links either directly (without infrastructure – the *ad hoc* mode) or through a base station (the infrastructure mode). In an *ad hoc* WCN, the wireless nodes communicate with each other without using a fixed infrastructure, and when two nodes are not within their transmission range, the intermediate nodes relay the messages between nodes. In some networking environments, such as wireless home or office with stationary workstations, the network nodes are wireless but non-mobile (*stationary*). In others, the network nodes are both wireless and *mobile* [1]. The stationary nodes form a fix topology or a random topology (*ad hoc*), if deployed randomly. An *ad hoc* network with mobile nodes is a *mobile wireless ad hoc network* (MANET) [2]. MANET is a new frontier for WCN and is different from a traditional WCN in many ways. One major difference is that a routing path in MANET uses a sequence of

mobile nodes, a wireless link connecting each pair of the nodes.

The *wireless sensor network* (WSN) is another class of WCN. Nodes in WSN, forming a certain topology, can be mobile or stationary and deployed randomly (*ad hoc*). Typically, a WSN comprises more but less resourceful nodes than those in the other types of WCN. Each sensor node is capable of processing a limited amount of data[3].




Figure 1: Communication architecture of wireless sensor networks




Figure 2: Sensor Node Architecture

Figure 1 and Figure 2 depicts the communication and sensor node architecture in wireless sensor network.

Earlier, the sensor networks consist of many small number of sensor nodes that were wired to a central processing station. Nowadays, the major focus is on wireless Sensing nodes. Because of the character of wireless communications, resource constraint on sensor nodes, size and density of the networks, unidentified topology prior to deployment, and high danger of physical attacks to unattended sensors, it is a confront to provide security in WSNs. The main security requirement is to provide confidentiality, integrity, authenticity, and availability of all messages in the presence of resourceful adversaries. To provide secure communications for the WSNs, all messages have to be authenticated [4]. Modification of information is possible because of the nature of the wireless channels and uncontrolled node environments. An opponent can use natural impairments to modify information and also render the information unavailable. Security requirements in WSNs are similar to those of wireless ad hoc networks due to their similarities [5].

2. RELATED WORK

Kerberos authentication scheme [4] is used for the authentication of base station in sensor network. It provides a centralized authentication server whose work is to authenticate user by providing him the ticket to grant request to the base station. Earlier proposals have provided architecture for the authentication of base station in the wireless sensor network based on the Kerberos server authentication scheme [5].

2.1 Kerberos Architecture:

With reference to the figure below there are two main components of Kerberos server

- Authentication Server
- Ticket Granting Server

2.1.1 Authentication Server

The Authentication server knows the password of all the users and stores them in a centralized database. The authentication server shares a unique secret key with every server. These keys have been distributed to the user using a security mechanism.




Figure 3: Kerberos Architecture

2.1.2 Ticket Granting Server

The Ticket granting server performs the work of issuing tickets to users who have been authenticated to authentication server. The first work that is to be performed is that the user first requests a ticket from the authentication server, then this ticket is saved by the user. Each time the user authenticates itself, the ticket granting server grants a ticket for the particular server/Base Station. The user saves each of the service granting tickets and uses them to authenticate to a server whenever a particular service is requested.

2.2 Loopholes in earlier proposed research work:




Figure 4: Sensor network with a single Kerberos

The major loopholes in earlier sensor networks were that each node in a wireless sensor network had only a single authentication centre i.e. the Kerberos. Due to this, all the sensor nodes had to wait for a long time for their authentication and to establish connection with the sink node and the base station. The major disadvantage of this technique of communication was that each node suffered from energy loss with the wastage of time. There was a need to overcome this problem and check the efficient solutions for it.

3. PROPOSED TECHNIQUE

This paper proposes a solution for the above mentioned problem. Instead of serving one sensor node at a time with the same Kerberos center, clusters of sensor nodes in a wireless sensor network can be formed, each having its own authentication centre for authenticated process i.e. the Kerberos. Here the proposed solution will serve each node in the wireless sensor network by authenticating each sensor node through the particular Kerberos of that cluster and then letting the nodes to communicate with the sink node and finally to the base station. All over the process of the proposed technique will do work to save the energy factor of the sensor node.




Figure 5: Clusters of sensor nodes and the sink with a kerberos

From the above figure, the proposed solution can be easily understood. Here there is more than one cluster of sensor nodes having their own authentication centre i.e. Kerberos. Each node of a cluster communicates with the authentication centre provided in the concerned cluster and then contacts to the sink node and further to the base station. This proposal will save the power of the sensor nodes and will make the communicating network efficient and reliable. The Kerberos is also present at the sink node so that whenever an intruder tries to attack the sink, the sink node carries the authentication process with the help of Kerberos and only authenticated user will be able to contact the sink node.

3.1 Advantage Of Proposed Technique:

This technique can avoid more time and heavy traffic load with less energy consumption. In traditional network when more than one node send request to the Kerberos it takes more time to response which results in processing delay and leads to loss in energy of sensor nodes in sensor network. By implementing proposed technique where different Kerberos are used for different clusters, they will process the nodes request at the same time and this will result in less processing delay as well as will save the energy of the processing nodes. Hence it will be energy efficient technique. Also, the sink node is protected from any unauthenticated user for any attack.

4. CONCLUSION

The main purpose of this paper is to provide secure data communication among sensor nodes. The proposed model uses Kerberos authentication services in clustered sensor network. This will help to detect unauthorized objects in cluster itself rather than detecting it in complete network. On implementing Kerberos technique in every cluster will save

the time as well as will improve the lifetime of the sensor nodes in wireless sensor network. Also, implementing Kerberos near sink node, will allow only authenticated users to contact it. Future work will include the implementation of this proposed technique in every possible scenario.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] J. Kohl, B. Neuman and T. Ts'o The Evolution of the Kerberos Authentication Service, in Brazier, F., and Johansen, D. *Distributed Open System Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press*, 1994
- [2] S. Jiang, N. Vaidya, and Wei Zhao, Dynamic Mix Method in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks. *In Proc. IEEE Milcom*, Oct 2001
- [3] C. Shen, C. Srisathapornphat, and C. Jaikaeo, Sensor Information Networking Architecture and Applications, *IEEE Pers. Commun.*, Aug. 2001, pp. 52–59.
- [4] Kurose JF and Ross KW, Computer networking, a top-down approach featuring the internet, *third edition. Addison Wesley*, Reading, MA, 2005.
- [5] Gupta, M. Millard, S. Fung, Y. Zhu, N. Gura, H. Eberle and S. C. Shantz - Sizzle: A standards-based end-to-end security architecture for the embedded internet, in Third IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communication (PerCom 2005), Kauai, March 2005
- [6] M. Cardei, Shuhui Yang, and Jie Wu, "Fault-Tolerant Topology Control for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks," *Proc. Of IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad hoc and Sensor Systems*, Florida Atlantic Univ., USA, pp. 1-9, October 2007.
- [7] K. Lu et al., A Framework for a Distributed Key Management Scheme in Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks, *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 7, no. 2, Feb. 2008, pp. 639-647.
- [8] Zoran S. Bojkovic, Bojan M. Bakmaz, and Miodrag R. Bakmaz, Security Issues in Wireless Sensor Networks, *international journal of communications* Issue 1, Volume 2, 2008
- [9] Qasim Siddique, Kerberos Authentication in Wireless Sensor Networks, *Annals. Computer Science Series. 8th Tome 1st Fasc.*, 2010.